G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
Rem 42-09 AC 6,27.
" 25-10 AC 29+37
" 25-10 AC 44+49 & 58
" 22-09 AC 34+42
" 66-13 AC 99+115
" 66-14 AC 30+76
" ~~Later references.~~
" 134-15 AC 50
" 106-17 AC 12+14.

Later references
CS 57-18-1

Revenue

1917.

(Gen. Secs.)

File No. 106-17

Serial Nos. 73

P. 35

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 73.

Part B for June 1918

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr D. Srinivasa Iyengar Asst: Commr: regarding the stoppage of his promotion.

M 73. To. The Govt: Commr
No. G. 17448 dt 18. 6. 1918.
States that the Govt: are not prepared to reconsider the representation of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar requesting that his promotion to the 1st Class should have effect from 6-12-16 instead of from 1-4-1918

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

29-6-18

G. R. S. III—1] 1917-18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(fm)

NOTES.

File No. 1077

FILE HEADING.

Appointments and promotions of Assistant Censors.

From

No. Dated Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18-11-16

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

(2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 106 of 1917.

Serial No.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. VII. GENERAL 22 SEP. 1917

Kind of Insp. Circles - Rajas - & the Law Rep

N O T E.

1. Appointed to the Service - 6th.Dec. 1906. MR 834-17

2. Confirmed in Rs 250 -- 6th.Dec. 1908.

3. Promotion to Rs 300 put off, on account of 'unfavourable reports', in March 1911.
Period of unfavorable report, July '10 to March '11, i.e. 8 months.

4. In reply to memorial, on same facts, promotion denied in 1912, without taking my explanation, and in spite of withdrawal of reports by the Officers concerned.
(contrary to G.O. no. G. 1694-734/G.M. 128-09-1 dt 16th Sept. 1909.)

6. Question was thus pending till 1913, when on representation, the promotion was granted from 1st.July 1913, without any reason for putting back by 32 months.

7. Postponement reduced on the strong recommendation of Mr.K& Krisna Iyer, Deputy Commssioner, Kadur District, to 16 months.

8. Promotions to all the higher Classes thus automatically put off by 16 months.

9. Present prayer is for restoration of these 16 months, at least with reference to the promotion to Rs 450, so as to get that grade from 6th.Dec.'16, instead of from 1st.April '18 (as would be the case as matters stand at present)

10. Matter now under correspondence; Excise Commissioner's recommendation is for restoration of 8months only.

(This act of justice will make me eligible for one of the two present vacancies among Senr.Asst.Commrs., as I am next to Mr.S.P.Rajagopalachari).

2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1 G.M. File No. 106-17 Sb 12 + 14 Containing this office letter No. G. 2145 dt 17-8-17. declining to reconsider

Fin 534-17
2467

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S. 3

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 106 of 1917.

Serial No.

M, N, O, P
(X) (These are adopted into this file)

The orders already passed regarding Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion to the IV class of Asst. Commrs., Sch. A is submitted along with the other files referred to in the office note in that file (X) except those which are stated therein to be not available.)

Kindly
24/9/17

P. W.D.

V D.O. letter dt 22-9-17 addressed by Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar to the Second Member of Council (vide recent) is also placed in this file.

Second member's orders on the above letter.

3 General Secretary

Kindly put up connected file

Initd. A.R.B.
23-9-17

General Secretary's orders

4 H.S. for V

Put up the files & Submit to 2nd member.

Initd. C.S.B
24-9-17

Subtd

5. The previous references have already been put up.

Kindly
25/9/17

2nd Member

25/9/17

258
26.9.17

6. Note may be perused. Case under the Fishery Cess Rules 6 months ago was once returned by the Com. for

27/9

7. Not necessary

27/9/17 25/9/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(4

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

fm File No. 106 of 1917.

	Serial No.	
		8. Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar was confirmed as
fm 22-08-42 M		an Asst. Commr. IV class, Sch A, on the 6th December 1908.
		The officer's promotion being regulated by Rule VIII
N		of the Civil Service Rules of 28.6.1906, his promotion
		to the IV class fell due on the 6th Dec^r 1910. But
		on account of the unfavorable reports about his
		work, the question of his promotion was ordered to be
B		deferred in fo No. G 5650 / fm 25-1017 dy 28th March 1911.
		9. Upon this decision being communicated to him
S		he subtd a memorial dy 15th May 1911 to the Rev. Comr
		through the D.C. Shimoga dt praying for a reconsideration
		of the above order, which was transmitted to Govt.
		10. This representation was considered in Council
Vide Council Resolution J		in June 1912 and they recommended that in view of
		the more favorable confidential reports received
		about him from the Dy. Officer & the D.C. Shimoga
		since the date of the above decision of Govt., his promotion
		to the IV class which had been withheld may be
		sanctioned from the due date viz 6th December 1910 with
		a warning to him that unless he showed decided
		improvement in future his next promotion was liable
		to be stopped. On this recommendation Mr. R.H. Campbell
		in his D.O. letter No. 20^x dy 9th July 1912 conveyed His
		Highness' orders declining to sanction the officer's pro-
		motion from the due date.
		Subsequently his promotion to the IV class
		was sanctioned with effect from the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

5

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Fin File No. 106 of 1917.

Serial No.

O the 1st July 1913 in G.O. No. G. 7441 / Fin 66-13-76 & 14th March 1914, his promotion being thus postponed by two years and seven months.

11. On further consideration his promotion to the above class was given effect to from the 1st April 1912 in Govt. order No. G. 4384-6 / Fin 66-13-115 of 28th Sept 1915, his promotion being thus postponed by one year & four months.

C

12. Consistently with this order Mr. Srinivasengar was promoted to the III & II class with effect from the 1st April 1914 & 1st April 1916 respy and he will be eligible for promotion to the I class with effect from the 1st April 1918.

13. A Statement showing cases in which similar punishment viz stoppage of promotion has been awarded on confidential reports which was prepared under the orders of the Secretary Member of Council in another connection is put up.

H

14. Recently in the case of Mr. M. P. Viswanatha Rao who had also a similar grievance, the order postponing his promotion by one year was re-considered by Govt and the period reduced from twelve to six months. Vide G.O. No. G. 5932-4 / Fin 106-17-22 dt 22nd Oct 1917.

Y

15. The Excise Comr in his letter of 31st July 1917 recommended that the postponement of the period of promotion of Mr. D. Srinivasengar to the IV Class by 16 months may

L

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—8.

(b)

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 106 of 1917.

Serial No.

⊕ Vide para 11 supra

may be further reduced to Eight months and that his promotion to the I class of Asst. Comrs. might be sanctioned with effect from the 1st July 1917 instead of from the 1st April 1918 the date on which it is actually due. But the Excise Comr. was informed in reply that Govt. did not consider it expedient to revise the orders already passed in Mr. D. Srinivasiengar's case.

Fin 105-17-14 Z

16. The question is whether the matter should be reconsidered now and the Excise Comr's recommendation to limit the stoppage to Eight months given effect to.

17. Submitted with reference to para paras 6 & 7 supra

Chetto 10/11/1917

17. Mr Srinivasa iyengar's case is a hard one. He has been doing good work for some years past, whatever his deficiencies may have been at an earlier stage.

18. The Excise Comm's recommendation that this promotion to the 1st class may be given to him from 1st July 17 (instead of from 1st April 18) will, if accepted, amount to showing some consideration to him,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

7

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M File No. 106 of 1917.

Serial No.

without nullifying the punishment due to a ~~short~~ period of bad work.

19 It seems to me that this recommendation maybe accepted.

2nd member) CSS 15/11/17

37. 16.11.17.

20) This appeal was first punished by stoppage of promotion for 2 years and 4 months.

D

21. This was revised and reduced to 1 year 4 months. This punishment affects practically the whole career - & not any particular period - for promotion is deferred in the case of each grade by 16 months. This therefore is a very severe punishment.

C

22. The period of unapproved service seem to be July 10 to Feb 11. For this appeal is very penalised. Subsequently 2 of his juniors have superseded him. For 6 years subsequent to this period

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(8

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 106 of 1917.

Serial No.	
	Uniform road work has been checked in this season.
	23. I am therefore inclined to grant the Co. Commr's request. This was agreed to by me once - on the papers then before me.
W	W. on Examining the case carefully - with ref. to the representation now made I think the case should go to the Council for consideration - the approval of the Govr.
	17.11
	25. May be considered at a meeting.
2624 17.11.17	Dewan) 26) 29 Nov 19/12 CS 27) Circulation meeting Nov 21/12

Govr 17/11/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(For Disposal at [a] Meeting)

No. C. 340

2/1/1918

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

(Clause v of the Schedule)

Representation of Mr. D. Srinivasaiengar, District Excise Officer, for a re-consideration of the Government Order declining to condone the postponement of his promotion to the IV class of Assistant Commissioners.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C S Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Government.

21st December 1917.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

11.1.

First Member of Council.

Seen.

Second Member of Council.

4.1

13.
2-1-18.

WD 2670—GPB—1,000—11-5-17

Extract from the Proceedings of the 438th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore, on the 24th January 1918.

---

24 16. Subject.-Clause v of the Schedule.

Representation of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, District Excise Officer, for a re-consideration of the Government Order declining to condone the postponement of his promotion to the IV class of Assistant Commissioners.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

It is not desirable to re-open the question.

Sd. M. Visvesvaraya,
Dewan & Member.

"Extract"

C S Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Govt.

W. D. 1364—GPB—200—14-12-15

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

29 In favour of a final note

2nd member

CK 26/4/18.

30 These papers relate to a rep. by Mr D Dunnigan - regarding the attack by his guards

31 Mr Wylie came up that last evening and the Sale remained

32 The remainder of the evening was occupied with discussing the road that should be opened

33 In defence of the two Gurung Subahs. D and M

When the case further adjourned

34 I think the punishment awarded is adequate considering the gravity of the offence -

35 The use of the C may be dispensed

CS Secretary Dept

CK 25/4/18

36 Dept submitted.

CK 29/4/18

Dewan

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:—

As per under dis[illegible] 37 These papers relate to a representation made by Mr.D.Srinivasiengar regarding the stoppage of his promotion.

Page 7 of Office Notes 38 When the file came up to me last, I recorded the following minute:-

"This officer was first punished by a stoppage of promotion for 2 years and 7 months.

"This was revised and reduced to 1 year and 4 months. This punishment affects practically the whole career and not any particular period,for,promotion is deferred in the case of each grade by 16 months. This I consider is a very severe punishment.

"The period of unapproved service seems to be July 10th to 11th February. For this, this officer is being penalized continuously and 20 of his juniors have superseded him. For 6 years subsequent to this period, uniform good work has been reported in his favour.

"I am therefore inclined to grant the Excise Commissioner's recommendation. This was disposed of by me once on the papers then before me.

"On examining the case carefully with reference to the representation now made, I think the case should go to the Council for consideration with the approval of the Dewan".

Page 9 of 39 The Council after discussion, however, recorded the resolution

that it is not desirable to re-open the question.

40 In deference to the views of my colleagues, I do not wish to press the case further although I think, the punishment as finally awarded is comparatively speaking, severe.

41 The resolution of the Council may be approved.

28th Jan.1918.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

28.1.18

Submitted to His Highness.

42 I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second Member of Council on the representation of Mr. D.Srinivasa Iyengar, Assistant Commissioner, regarding the stoppage of his promotion.

43 2. My submission note dated 12th September 1915, gives the facts of the case. Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion was stopped on account of adverse reports (vide reasons detailed in Mr. Campbell's demi-official letter dated 9th July 1912).

44 In 1914, the question was reconsidered and Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar was promoted to the 4th class with effect from 1st July 1913.

45 For the third time, the matter came up in 1915 when it was decided to give effect to the promotion from an earlier date, viz., 1st April 1912.

46 In 1917, the Excise Commissioner recommended that Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion to the 1st class might be sanctioned with effect from 1st July 1917, but Government considered it inexpedient to revise the orders already passed.

47 3. Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar has again made a representation that his promotion to the 1st class might be given effect from 6th December 1916 instead of from 1st April 1918.

48 4. The question has been considered no less than five times and it seems undesirable to reopen it merely because of repeated representations from the officer concerned. He has no doubt been well reported upon in

recent years, but this is no reason for cancelling punishment awarded for previous shortcomings. The resolution of the Council may, in the circumstances, be approved.

Page 9 of Office Notes

M. Nicholson
6th June 1918.

C. 860

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Representation of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Assistant Commissioner, regarding the stoppage of his promotion.

1402

49 *Order.*

~~KRW~~

8/6/18

SO Sanctn. Rd.
10.6.18

202/11.6.18.

5-1 11.6.18

4431/11.6. 5/2

53 12/6/18 11/6

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.m File No. 106 of 1917.

Serial No.	
52	Draft submitted for approval or other orders — 13/6/18. 53 — Issue Up 15/6/18
53	Do The Revenue Commissioner No G 17448 of 18.6.18 States that the Govt are not prepared to reconsider the representation of Mr D Sreeni-Vasa Iyengar requesting that his promotion to the 1st Class might have effect from 6.12.16 instead of from 1.4.1918

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. V GENERAL 27 JUN. 1918

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Representation of D.Srinivasa Iyengar re his promotion.

M.P.Visvanatha Rau's promotion was put back by one year at first, reduced afterwards to Six months. The unfavorable reports in his case covered a period of over three years and ~~were~~ the reporting officers were 3 Deputy Commissioners (Messrs. Srikantesvara Aiyar, P.R.Wetherall, and K.Mathan.), two successive I.G.'s of Police (Messrs. D.Devaraja Urs & C.Srikantasvara Aiyar),and two successive Excise Commissioners (Messrs. M. Kantaraja Urs and P.Raghavendra Rau). . None of the unfavorable reports wer were withdrawn or modified; only the subsequent reports were favorable and were taken in mitigation of the first unfavorable reports.

D.Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion was put back by two years and eight months to begin with,later on reduced to one year and four months. The unfavorable reports in this case cover a total period of eight months only, the reporting officers being one Deputy Commissioner(Mr.K.Srinivasa Rau)& one I.G. of Police(Mr.D.Deavaraja Urs). Both the officers subsequently withdrew the unfavorable reports,i.e. repudiated any intention of condemning D.S.I.'s work,and the later reports of Mr.K.Srinivasa Rau,as also of all the officers under whom D.S.I. served for the past seven years are uniformly and strongly favorable. D.S.I.'s prayer now is to be condoned this period of one year and four months,in view of these facts.

Bangalore

22nd Sept. 1917.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
GENERAL
24 SEP. 1917

Dear Sir,

I beg to enclose, for your kind perusal, copies of some of the references made by my superior officers, as also the latest correspondence, which you desired to see, but which I had not with me, yesterday. These will show, better than anything I can urge now, how the case lies: of course, they referred to the previous promotions, but the same arguments apply to the increment now due (to Rs. 450)

I hope you will kindly

See your way to helping me to get my dues, for all I ask is bare justice and nothing more — and even that only with reference to the next pending increment and not those that have already gone by. The Enam Commissioner has made it clear that it is quite useless to take up all these old questions and I fully recognise the reasonableness of that view.

Begging to be excused for the liberty I have taken.

Yours obediently

D Srinivasa Iyengar

(20

To

D. N. Narasinga Rau Esq. B.A, B.L.

Deputy Secretary to Government

Bangalore

Dated 9th May 1913.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose herewith a representation to Government regarding my promotion and to request that you will forward the same with your kind support.

2. I have informally given a copy of the representation to the Dewan at an interview granted to me in the month of March.

3. My case is one of great hardship, as two of my grade promotions, due, respectively, in Dec. 1910, and in Dec. 1912 have been withheld, and as I have

S.v.d. to the Secy.
Mr. D.J.J. Fitzpatrick

(The Secy. has also strongly supported this) DB

(The Secy. then was Mr. K.R. Srinivasayya)

thus been superseded by a host of junior officers.

4. As I have ~~endeavored to~~ endeavoured to show in the representation the reports construed against me, have originated in an unfortunate coincidence of mistakes. I am not conscious of having shirked or mismanaged any work ever given to me; nor was I ever reprimanded by any of my superior officers for inefficiency, or negligence of duty

5. I pray that at least one of my promotions may be sanctioned, and that the government may test, in any manner they think fit, my capacity for work, and only after fully assuring themselves of it, may sanction the second promotion.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant.
D. Srinivasa Iyengar
Asst. Commr. doing duty in the S.

D.O.

Chikmagalur,
25th October 1914.

My Dear Sir,

Adverting to the personal representations I made to you in Mysore, about Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, my District Excise Officer, I beg to enclose a brief Memo setting forth the main points for your consideration.

Now that Government have sanctioned his promotion only from July 1913 the result has been that about 20 or more of his juniors have gone over him. This, it seems to me, is too harsh a punishment for after all what could have been only trivial faults. Such supersessions at the very beginning of one's career must take the heart out of any young man and the harm thereby caused will pursue him right through his official career.

It is, therefore, that I requested you to kindly sanc-tion his promotion with retrospective effect from July 1911, after deducting the 8 months of "unapproved service" extending from July 1910 to February 1911. But for this "unapproved "service" he was entitled to this promotion so far back as Decem-ber 1910.

With these few remarks I leave his case entirely in your generous hands fully trusting that whatever you may decide justice will be done to him.

Apologising for this intrusion and with my best regards

I remain,
Yours sincerely,

(sd) K. Krishna Iver.

M. Visvesvaraya, Esq., C.I.E.,
Dewan.

M E M O R A N D U M .

Mr. D. Srinivas Iyengar entered service on 6th December 1906 as Probationary Assistant Commissioner, was confirmed in the 5th class in December 1908 and should, in the natural course of things, have been promoted to the 4th class in December 1910. His service, however, was held to be "unapproved" and the promo--tion was deferred. The "unapproved service" extends, however, only over 8 months - from July 1910 to February 1911. His sub--sequent service, since March 1911, has been continuously - - approved by Mr. K. Srinivasa Rao himself, later on by Messrs. B.J. Kumaraswami Naik and K. Chandy and lastly by myself, not to mention the emphatic recognition of his good work by both the past and the present Excise Commissioners, viz, Sirdar M. Kanta--raj Urs and Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao.

2. Hence the date from which he becomes entitled to his promo--tion to the 4th class is 8 months later than the (otherwise) due date, i.e., July 1911 and not July 1913, as mentioned in the Government Order. By no calculation could the omission of the period of 2 years (July 1911 to July 1913) be justified, seeing that his immediate official superiors have all uniformly reported the service of this period as "approved". His promotion to class IV ought, therefore, to take effect from July 1911 and July 1913.

3. Government have been consistently acting on the principle that subsequent good work by an Officer expiates his previous faults and that it is a valid ground for the sanction of his with--held promotion with retrospective effect from the date original--ly due. I need only instance the cases of Messrs. B. Venkoba Rao and T. Chokkanna, or more recent still, of Mr. M.P. Viswanath Rao. The last Officer's case is indeed, almost exactly paralle to Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar's. His work in the Police Depart--ment was not approved by the Inspector General of Police and by his Deputy Commissioner, but in view of the approved service he

rendered

rendered later on in the Excise Department, his promotion was sanctioned with effect from the originally due date. This parallelism between the two cases does, in fact, an injustice to Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar's case in that it leaves unmentioned the all important fact that the reporting officers themselves subsequently modified or explained away their reports about him, disclaiming any intention of condemning Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyen-gar's work. His case would thus seem to be on a better foot-ing than Mr. M.P. Viswanatha Rao's.

25th October 1914.

(sd) K. Krishna Iyer,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

No. G.C.19.

31st.July 1917

Sir,

In forwarding herewith letter dated ... from Mr. D.S.I., my Hd.Qr.Asst.,I have the honor to observe as follows:-

In public service,one officer gets opportunities of making his mark,and coming to the front,which do not come in the way of others who may be equally capable.It would seem eminently reasonable to urge that any encouragement shown to the holder of a special appointment should be hedged round with suitable and sufficient safeguards,so as not to become a menace to the prospects of his colleagues and seniors in the near or remote future.The interests of the service itself demand that such doubts and misgivings should be allayed,and that no discontent or'heart-burning' should be allowed to arise in connexion with such.In this view it seems to me that it is necessary to clearly enunciate the principle that increments to one's emoluments in connexion with special appointments shall not affect the relative rank of Officers and that holders of such appointments be shown as seconded in their places,and eligible,on reversion to the ordinary appointments classed as the 'Mysore Civil Service',to take their legitimate places. Such a rule would safeguard the interests of one and all concerned and would be acceptable to all officers.

Coming to his second prayer, I must say that Mr.D.S.I. has shown as bright a record as one could expect and that the good work of six years sustained on a uniformly high level as to its quality, shoud go a long way to wipe out the alleged short comings of 8 months. As however Govt. once for all decided to treat this 8 months' service as unapproved, I do not know how far it would be expedient to reopen the matter now. I would therefore suggest that deducting that period his promotion to the R 450 grade may be given from 1st. July 17 This would be no more than bare justice to him and need not, I think, involve reconsideration of orders already passed as to his earlier promotions.

I have etc.

sd/ P. Raghavendra Rau.

To

B. Ramaswamiya Esq B.A.B.L

Revenue Secretary to Govt.)

Dear Sir,

Having learnt that Mr.N.Madhava Rao has been promoted to the grade of ₹ 550-50-700,as Under-Secretary in the Efficiency Audit Branch,I beg to bring the following facts to the notice of Government through you:-

Although Mr.Madhava Rau competed for the Mysore Civil Service along with Mr.S.P.Rajagopalachari and myself in 1906,it was only in the next year's competition that he secured rank and entered service. He is thus our junior,and,in the ordinary course of things,would have reached ₹ 450 three years later than ourselves.

A sad and unmerited misfortune overtook me early in my career, when my promotion to the 4th. Class (₹ 300) was put off by one year and four months.My various representations to Government have shown what lasting injury this adverse order of Government did to my prospects,seeing that(1)the reports construed as against me were only expressions of diffidence-as admitted by themselves- by two officers(out of the ten or twelve I served under),after a short acquaintance of 5 and 3 months respectively,with my work;and(2)the period,in any case, was only 8 and not 16,months,as decided by Government.This contention of mine is not an idle one,but has been supported by all the several superior officers,through the representations were made.

But for this set-back,I would have reached the 1st.class(₹ 450) in December last,and would have been eligible~~now~~now to a Senior Assistant Commissioner's place,in the existing vacancies.

As against the alleged unfavourable reports for this short period,I beg to be allowed to cite a few extracts as to the quality of my work for the last ₹ 5 years and trust that the Government will not fail to give these also their due weight in forming an estimate of my capacity and attainments.(vide enclosure)

I therefore respectfully pray that(1)promotions like the above of Mr.Madhava Rau,may be declared not to affect the relative position of officers as to their eligibility to the higher appointments in the Mysore Civil Service,and(2)Government may be graciously pleased to grant my promotion to the 1st.Class,at least,from the due date,v.i.z. 6th.December 1916,so that I may get the benefit of the recent ruling (G.O.no dated) and take my chance of getting a Senior Assistant Commissioner's place,when vacancies occur.

D.O. No. G. 5368
G.M.134-15-40.

General and Revenue Secretariat,
Dated, Bangalore, 26th October 1915

Dear Sir,

The question of promotion of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, B.A., Assistant Commissioner, 4th Class, Schedule A to the next higher class with effect from 1st April 1914 is now under the consideration of Government.

I am accordingly to request whether during the time he was employed in the Excise Department between 1st April 1912 and 1st April 1914 his services may be considered as approved so as to entitle him to promotion.

Yours sincerely,
Sd/- B. Subba Rao.

To

P. Raghavendra Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

D.O.

Bangalore,
3rd November 1915.

Dear Subba Rao,

Reference:-- Your D.O. Letter No. G. 5368/G.M. 134-15-40, dated 26th October 1915, reached me at Camp Mysore two days later and I regret I was unable to reply to it earlier.

I have reason to believe that the work of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar as District Excise Officer gave every satisfaction to my predecessor, and as far as I am concerned I cannot do more or better than to invite attention to my previous communications to Government regarding his work and to add that he has given me full satisfaction in the discharge of his duties not only as District Excise Officer, but also as my Head-Quarter Assistant. From this it will be [illegible]

seen that his service in this Department during the period under reference must be considered as approved and entitling him to promotion as such.

In connection with the recent order of Government promoting him to the 4th class with effect from 1-4-1912, he represents that it has the effect of putting him back by one year and four months, although the period of service considered as unapproved amounted to eight months only. In addition to pecuniary loss, he loses 16 places as well.

To my mind his representation is not unreasonable and, as such, it is deserving of the favourable consideration of Government. By this I do not for a moment imply that the old question of his promotion to 4th class should be reopened now, for that has been finally settled by Government. But the next promotion to the 3rd class may be sanctioned as from 1-7-1913 in stead of 1-4-1914.

This, it appears to me, would be a gracious and substantial concession to him, and at the same time make the reconsideration of orders already passed quite unnecessary. I suggest this course as I feel that it is not the intention of Government to postpone every increment of his. It would be neither just nor fair to award many punishments for a single fault.

Further the fact that his work has been very good subsequently should, I think, be taken into consideration, before deciding on putting back every one of his successive increments, for that would mean a serious and substantial loss to him in every way.

As already stated by me in my communication of August last, he is an intelligent, competent, and capable young officer and it is advisable in the interests of Government Service, as much as

in their own, to encourage such officers in order to get the best out of them.

I venture to express the hope that the above will meet with the approval of Government and that favourable orders will also be early passed in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- P. Raghavendra Rao,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

G. R. S. V1—G/32]

SUBJECT..... Declines to reopen the question connected with the representation of Mr. D. Srinivasiengar, Asst. Commr. in Mysore

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section fm
File No. 106-17
Serial No. 73

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the 191 .

No. G 1744 F

Date of issue 18.6.917

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Signed by Mr. R. J. Aiyar

Sir,

In connection with the representation of ~~Asst Com~~ Mr. D. Srinivasiengar, Asst. Commr. requesting that his promotion to the 1st class might be given effect from the 6th December 1916 instead of from 1st April 1916, which has been engaging the attention of Govt. for some time past

over.

I am directed to state that ~~it has been decided that the question having been considered no less than five times cannot be~~ it Govt. are not prepared to respond ~~favorably because of the repeated representations from him~~ to the decision of Govt.

This may be communicated to Mr. D'Souza, ~~but no other response~~

Yours &c

Chief Secretary.

32

respect the question. The Govt may be informed accordingly

VJ 15/6/16

VS

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W D86—GPB—60,000—15-11-16